

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,253	03/17/2004	Robert K. Hellerson	776-003	2284
7590 03/15/2005			EXAMINER	
CLIFFORD G. FRAYNE			KRAMER, DEAN J	
Suite 7A 136 Drum Poin	t Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Brick, NJ 08723			3652	

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
<b>V</b>		10/801,253	HELLERSON, ROBERT K.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dean J. Kramer	3652				
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communicat Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
A SHOP THE MA - Extension after SID - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure I Any repi	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ons of time may be available under the provisions of 30 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic riod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day riod for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, y received by the Office later than three months after to patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of the ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A	irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)□ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed o	on					
2a)□ T	his action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)l	oxtimes This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	of Claims						
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	laim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application) Of the above claim(s) is/are valum(s) is/are valum(s) is/are allowed.  laim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.  laim(s) is/are objected to.  laim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.					
Application —							
	e specification is objected to by the E						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 March 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the	= " "	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	e oath or declaration is objected to by						
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of 2) Notice of 3) Informati	References Cited (PTO-892)  Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9  On Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO  O(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/801,253

Art Unit: 3652

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. More specifically, lines 4-6 of claim 1 contain subject matter (i.e. the recitation of the rotatable handle members) which was not disclosed at all in the specification.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is no clear antecedent basis for "said lower portion of said channel" (claim 1, line 17) or "said bottom wall member" (claim 4, line 3).

Also, the phrase "affixed said first and second ends", as recited in claim 1, line 15, does not make grammatical sense.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,253 Page 3

Art Unit: 3652

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-5, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. in view of Verde.

The patent to Chen et al. shows an embodiment in Figure 8 that contains all of the structural elements as broadly as recited in the above claims except that its displaceable rod members (72) are not specifically disclosed as being formed of a material having elastic memory.

However, Verde shows a plurality of ball retrieving rods (16) formed of resilient plastic.

It would have been obvious to provide the Chen et al. displaceable rods (72) with hollow plastic roller sleeves similar to those (16) shown in the Verde patent in order to facilitate the capturing of balls. The resulting rods would still be displaceable in their respective channels (79) and with their resilient hollow sleeves disposed therearound would allow an easier entrance for balls being retrieved. It is pointed out that the Chen et al. patent shows a handle assembly in Figures 11 and 12 rotatable on opposing sidewalls between a stand orientation (Fig. 12) and a handle orientation (Fig. 11).

Regarding claim 5, it would have been obvious to use any well known and commonly

Art Unit: 3652

available plastic material, such as nylon or polyethylene, for the construction of the hollow sleeves.

### Specification

- 6. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains legal phraseology such as "means" in lines 11, 14, and 17, and it is more than 250 words in length. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 7. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The Brief Description of Figures 3 and 4 on page 4 of the specification is not entirely correct in that they are not actually views of Figure 1 because Figure 1 shows the prior art assembly. Appropriate correction is required.

### **Drawings**

8. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: "2C" (see Fig. 2). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the

Application/Control Number: 10/801,253 Page 5

Art Unit: 3652

examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

9. The drawings are objected to because in Figure 1, two of the reference numerals "28" appear to be inaccurately pointing to the fixed center rods (26). Also, as pointed out in section 7 above, the section lines 3-3 and 4-4 in Figure 1 are not a true representation of Figures 3 and 4 since Figure 1 is a different assembly from that shown in Figs. 3 and 4. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patent to Benzoni et al. shows a displaceable rod member (40) for capturing a ball.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dean J. Kramer whose telephone number is (703) 308-2181. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri. (7:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on (703) 308-1113. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3652

djk 3/8/05